



Filed: 17 September 2015 4:15 PM



D0000MTRPJ

Form 7A/B
UCPR 14.3

DEFENCE

COURT DETAILS

Court	Supreme Court of NSW
Division	Equity
List	Equity General
Registry	Supreme Court Sydney
Case number	2015/00171592

TITLE OF PROCEEDINGS

First Plaintiff	John Smith
Second Plaintiff	Rosemary Smith

First Defendant	Australian Executor Trustee Limited
-----------------	-------------------------------------

FILING DETAILS

Filed for	Australian Executor Trustee Limited, Defendant 1
-----------	--

Legal representative	Alexander Haslam
Legal representative reference	
Telephone	02 8240 8000
Your reference	ABH:CSS:307480

NOTICE OF FILING

This matter has been listed with the statement of claim.

AFFIDAVIT

Deponent Name	Yvonne Kelaher
Sworn/Affirmed on	17 Sep 2015

ATTACHMENT DETAILS

In accordance with Part 3 of the UCPR, this coversheet confirms that both the Defence (e-Services), along with any other documents listed below, were filed by the Court.

Defence (UCPR 7A/7B) (2015.171592.Defence to Amended Statement of Claim 17 September 2015.pdf)

[attach]

Form 7A (version 5)
UCPR 14.3

DEFENCE TO AMENDED STATEMENT OF CLAIM

COURT DETAILS

Court	Supreme Court of New South Wales
Division	Equity
Registry	Sydney
Case number	2015/171592

TITLE OF PROCEEDINGS

Plaintiffs	John Smith and Rosemary Smith
Defendant	Australian Executor Trustees Limited (ACN 007 869 794)

FILING DETAILS

Filed for	Australian Executor Trustees Limited, defendant
Filed in relation to	The Plaintiffs' claim
Legal representative	Alexander Boyd Haslam, Gilchrist Connell
Legal representative reference	ABH:CSS:307480
Contact name and telephone	Alex Haslam (02) 8240 8028
Contact email	ahaslam@gclegai.com.au

HEARING DETAILS

The proceedings are listed for directions before Justice Ball on 23 October 2015.

PLEADINGS AND PARTICULARS

The Defendant, Australian Executor Trustees Limited (AET), pleads the following in relation to the allegations contained in the Amended Statement of Claim:

- 1 In answer to paragraph 1 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
 - (a) says that it does not know the identities of the Group Members; and
 - (b) otherwise admits the matters alleged therein.
- 2 In answer to paragraph 2 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
 - (a) admits that the Plaintiffs were issued debentures by Provident Capital Limited (receivers and managers appointed) (in liquidation) ACN 082 735 573 (Provident) on or about 13 September 2010; and
 - (b) otherwise does not admit the matters alleged therein.
- 3 In answer to paragraph 3 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
 - (a) except to the extent pleaded in paragraph 3(b) below, does not admit the

matters alleged in paragraphs 3(c), 3(d) and 3(e) of the Amended Statement of Claim; and

- (b) says that at all material times prior to 29 June 2012, Provident's principal business activities involved fixed rate mortgage lending and the issuing of fixed rate debentures pursuant to Chapter 2L of the *Corporations Act 2001* (Cth); and
- (c) otherwise admits the matters alleged therein.

4 in answer to paragraph 4 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:

- (a) says that it does not know the identities and circumstances of the Group Members;
- (b) denies that any person, including any Group Member, has suffered loss and damage by reason of the conduct of AET as pleaded in the Amended Statement of Claim; and
- (c) otherwise does not admit the matters alleged therein.

5 In answer to paragraph 5 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:

- (a) admits the matters alleged in paragraphs 5(a) and 5(b);
- (b) says that at all material times it held itself out as having knowledge, skill and experience in the provision of trustee services to companies which had issued debentures under Chapter 2L of the *Corporations Act*; and
- (c) otherwise denies the matters alleged therein.

6 In answer to paragraph 6 of the Amended Statement of Claim AET:

- (a) pleads to paragraph 6(f)(iii) on the basis that the term "*Business Conduct Requirement*" is a reference to the covenant given by Provident in clause 6.0.1 of the Trust Deed (as defined in paragraph 7 below), which covenant is otherwise purportedly defined as the "*Business Conduct Obligation*" in paragraph 8(k)(i) of the Amended Statement of Claim;
- (b) repeats the matters pleaded in paragraph 4 above; and
- (c) otherwise does not admit the matters alleged therein.

7 In answer to paragraph 7 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:

- (a) says that the document styled "*Debenture Trust Deed*" dated 11 December 1998 (**the Trust Deed**) constituted an agreement between IOOF Australia Trustees (NSW) Limited (**IOOF**) and Provident under which IOOF was referred to as "*Trustee*" and had certain powers and discretions in relation to debentures

issued by Provident;

- (b) says further that the Trust Deed has been amended from time-to-time;

Particulars

- A. Deed of Amendment dated 23 December 1999
 - B. Deed of Amendment made on or about 24 November 2005
 - C. Deed of Amendment dated 31 January 2011
 - D. Deed of Amendment of Debenture Trust Deed dated on or about 10 December 2012
 - E. Deed of Amendment of Debenture Trust Deed dated on or about 10 January 2013
- (c) says further that:

- (i) on or about 7 December 2004, IOOF retired from the office styled "Trustee" under the Trust Deed and AET accepted appointment to that office;

Particulars

Deed of Retirement and Appointment and Release, dated on or about 7 December 2004, clauses 3(a) and 7.

- (ii) on or about 24 November 2005, the Trust Deed was amended to appoint AET as trustee for debenture holders who held debentures issued by Provident under any disclosure document lodged with the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (**ASIC**) after 24 November 2005; and

Particulars

Deed of Amendment made on or about 24 November 2005, clause 2.1 and item 3 of the schedule

- (iii) AET has been the trustee for debenture holders of debentures issued by Provident under Chapter 2L of the *Corporations Act* and under the Trust Deed from on or about 24 November 2005 or, alternatively, 7 December 2005; and
- (d) otherwise denies the matters alleged therein.

- 8 In answer to paragraph 8 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
- (a) repeats the matters pleaded in paragraph 7 above and otherwise denies the matters alleged in paragraph 8(a) of the Amended Statement of Claim;
 - (b) admits, save for the matters pleaded in paragraph 8(c) below, the matters alleged in paragraph 8(b) of the Amended Statement of Claim in respect of the period commencing on or about 24 November 2005 to date;
 - (c) denies the matters alleged in paragraph 8(b) of the Amended Statement of Claim in so far as those matters relate to AET's obligations in respect of debenture holders who held debentures issued by Provident under any disclosure document lodged with ASIC prior to 24 November 2005;
 - (d) admits the matters alleged in paragraphs 8(c), 8(g), 8(i) and 8(m) of the Amended Statement of Claim;
 - (e) relies on the terms of the Trust Deed (as amended from time-to-time) for their full force and effect; and
 - (f) otherwise denies the matters alleged therein.
- 9 In answer to paragraph 9 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
- (a) does not admit the matters alleged therein; and
 - (b) says further that it had no obligation to be aware of the terms of the Procedure Manual (as defined and particularised in paragraph 9).
- 10 In answer to paragraph 10 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
- (a) repeats matters pleaded in paragraph 9 above;
 - (b) relies on the terms of the Procedure Manual (as varied from time-to-time) for their full force and effect; and
 - (c) otherwise does not admit the matters alleged therein.
- 11 In answer to paragraph 11 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
- (a) repeats the matters pleaded in paragraph 10 above;
 - (b) relies on the terms of the Procedure Manual for their full force and effect; and
 - (c) otherwise does not admit the matters alleged therein.
- 12 In answer to paragraph 12 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
- (a) admits:

- (i) the matters alleged in paragraphs 12(a), 12(b) and 12(d) of the Amended Statement of Claim; and
- (ii) that Provident had the obligation pleaded in paragraph 12(c) of the Amended Statement of Claim from 30 January 2012; and

Particulars

Personal Property Securities (Corporations and Other Amendments) Act 2010 (Cth), section 3 and items 49 and 56 of Schedule 1

- (b) otherwise denies the matters alleged therein.

13 In answer to paragraph 13 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:

- (a) admits the matters alleged therein; and
- (b) says further that in discharging its duties under the Corporations Act it was entitled to rely on the accuracy of:
 - (i) any information or reports issued by Provident to AET pursuant to Chapter 2L of the *Corporations Act*, the Trust Deed or otherwise; and
 - (ii) any report, certificate or other document and accompanying document provided to AET by Provident's auditor pursuant to section 313 of the *Corporations Act*.

Particulars

The documents on which AET was entitled to rely included:

- A. audited annual financial reports issued by Provident;
- B. audited interim annual financial reports issued by Provident;
- C. from October 2008, bi-annual reports titled "*ASIC Regulatory Guide 69 Benchmark Disclosure Report*" issued by Provident;
- D. Quarterly Reports supplied by Provident to AET addressing the matters in section 283BF of the *Corporations Act*;
- E. Monthly reports supplied by Provident to AET; and
- F. Prospectuses issued from time-to-time by Provident.

14 In answer to paragraph 14 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:

- (a) admits it had the power to apply to the Court for the orders pleaded in paragraphs 14(a) and 14(b);

- (b) says that it could not or ought not exercise such powers unless there was a proper basis for making an application to the Court;
- (c) says further that, in forming any view as to whether there was a proper basis to exercise its powers under sections 283HB(1)(c) and 283HB(1)(d) of the *Corporations Act*, it was entitled to rely on the accuracy of the information and documents pleaded in paragraph 13 above; and
- (d) otherwise denies the matters alleged therein.

15 In answer to paragraph 15 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:

- (a) admits that Provident used debenture funds to provide finance facilities to the following borrowers:
 - (i) Burleigh Views Pty Ltd;
 - (ii) George Tahatos Holdings Pty Ltd;
 - (iii) Chrysalis Holdings Pty Ltd;
 - (iv) Delta Dawn Pty Ltd;
 - (v) Yarraman Estate Pty Ltd;
 - (vi) The Empress Development Pty Ltd;
 - (vii) MJ Server Pty Ltd;
 - (viii) Neo East No 1 Pty Ltd;
 - (ix) Cleveland Corporations Pty Ltd;
 - (x) Neo Lido Pty Ltd;
 - (xi) Mihail Ovchinnikov;
 - (xii) MMT Investment Services Pty Ltd;
 - (xiii) Silvera Pty Ltd;
 - (xiv) AJV Constructions Pty Ltd;
 - (xv) Tembelli Pty Ltd; and
 - (xvi) Alex G Grivas Pty Ltd; and
- (b) otherwise does not admit the matters alleged therein.

16 In answer to paragraph 16 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:

- (a) admits that Provident provided it with copies of the reports listed in particulars

- (a) to (m) to paragraph 16 of the Amended Statement of Claim (the quarterly reports) on or about the dates particularised;
 - (b) says further that AET was entitled to rely on the accuracy of the quarterly reports; and
 - (c) otherwise does not admit the matters alleged therein.
- 17 In answer to paragraph 17 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
- (a) says that it was not provided with a copy of the Loan Arrears Report Dec 04 (as defined) in or around February 2005; and
 - (b) otherwise does not admit the matters alleged therein.
- 18 In answer to paragraph 18 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
- (a) admits that Provident provided it with copies of the reports listed in particulars (a) to (k) to paragraph 18 of the Amended Statement of Claim (the arrears reports) on or about the dates particularised;
 - (b) says further that it was entitled to rely on the accuracy of the arrears reports; and
 - (c) otherwise does not admit the matters alleged therein.
- 19 In answer to paragraph 19 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
- (a) says it was aware of the information contained in each arrears report within a reasonable time of receiving each report;
 - (b) says further that AET was entitled to rely on the accuracy of the arrears reports;
 - (c) says further that a proportion of loans being in arrears did not, of itself, indicate that the debenture holders' interests were materially prejudiced; and
 - (d) otherwise denies the matters alleged therein.
- 20 In answer to paragraph 20 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
- (a) repeats the matters pleaded in paragraphs 7, 8 and 13(b) above;
 - (b) denies that AET can have any liability in respect of any contravention of the *Corporations Act* or breach of the Trust Deed by Provident that occurred prior to on or about 24 November 2005 or, alternatively, 7 December 2004; and
 - (c) otherwise does not admit the matters alleged therein.

- 21 In answer to paragraph 21 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
- (a) repeats the matters pleaded in paragraphs 7 and 8 above;
 - (b) denies that AET can have any liability in respect of any contravention of the *Corporations Act* or breach of the Trust Deed by Provident that occurred prior to on or about 24 November 2005 or, alternatively, 7 December 2004; and
 - (c) otherwise does not admit the matters alleged therein.
- 22 In answer to paragraph 22 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
- (a) repeats the matters pleaded in paragraphs 7 to 11 above;
 - (b) pleads to paragraph 22 on the basis that the term "*Business Conduct Requirement*" is a reference to the covenant given by Provident in clause 6.0.1 of the Trust Deed, which covenant is otherwise purportedly defined as the "*Business Conduct Obligation*" in paragraph 8(k)(i) of the Amended Statement of Claim;
 - (c) says that paragraph 22 is embarrassing and liable to be struck out to the extent that it alleges that conduct engaged in by Provident prior to June 2002 was not consistent with the requirements of the Procedure Manual in circumstances where it is not pleaded that the Procedure Manual was in existence prior to June 2002;
 - (d) denies that AET can have any liability in respect of any contravention of the *Corporations Act* or breach of the Trust Deed by Provident that occurred prior to on or about 24 November 2005 or, alternatively, 7 December 2004; and
 - (e) otherwise does not admit the matters alleged therein.
- 23 In answer to paragraph 23 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
- (a) repeats the matters pleaded in paragraphs 7 and 8 above;
 - (b) denies that AET can have any liability in respect of any contravention of the *Corporations Act* or breach of the Trust Deed by Provident that occurred prior to on or about 24 November 2005 or, alternatively, 7 December 2004; and
 - (c) otherwise does not admit the matters alleged therein.
- 24 In answer to of paragraph 24 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
- (a) repeats the matters pleaded in paragraphs 7, 8 and 13(b) above;
 - (b) admits the matters alleged in paragraph 24(a)(i) of the Amended Statement of Claim; and

(c) otherwise denies the matters alleged therein.

25 in answer to paragraph 25 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:

(a) repeats paragraph 24 above; and

(b) otherwise denies the matters alleged therein.

26 In answer to paragraph 26 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:

(c) repeats paragraphs 7, 13, 24 and 25 above;

(d) denies that AET can have any liability in respect of any failure by it to be aware of, or take action in relation to, any event or circumstance that occurred prior to on or about 24 November 2005 or, alternatively, 7 December 2004;

(e) says that, in discharging its obligations as trustee, AET relied on the information and documents pleaded in paragraph 13 above; and

(f) otherwise denies the matters alleged therein.

27 AET denies paragraph 27 of the Amended Statement of Claim.

28 AET denies paragraph 28 of the Amended Statement of Claim.

29 AET denies paragraph 29 of the Amended Statement of Claim.

30 AET denies paragraph 30 of the Amended Statement of Claim.

31 AET denies paragraph 31 of the Amended Statement of Claim.

32 AET denies paragraph 32 of the Amended Statement of Claim.

33 In answer to paragraph 33 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:

(a) admits that it did not do any of the matters alleged in paragraph 32 of the Amended Statement of Claim prior to 8 June 2012;

(b) denies it was under any obligation to do any of the matters alleged in paragraph 32 of the Amended Statement of Claim prior to 8 June 2012;

(c) says that:

(i) it commissioned an independent report into the solvency of Provident on or about 20 February 2012;

(ii) it received and considered the independent report into the solvency of Provident on or about 27 March 2012 ; and

(iii) as at 8 June 2012, Provident was not in default of its obligations in respect of any debenture issued by it; and

(d) otherwise denies the matters alleged therein.

34 AET admits paragraph 34 of the Amended Statement of Claim.

35 AET admits paragraph 35 of the Amended Statement of Claim.

36 In answer to paragraph 36 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:

(a) admits the matters alleged therein; and

(b) says further that the pleaded order was the subject of a stay until 3 July 2012.

37 AET admits paragraph 37 of the Amended Statement of Claim.

38 AET admits paragraph 38 of the Amended Statement of Claim.

39 AET denies paragraph 39 of the Amended Statement of Claim.

40 In answer to paragraph 40 of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET denies that the Plaintiffs or any Group Member are entitled to the relief claimed, or any relief.

41 Further, and in answer to the whole of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:

(a) says that it reasonably considered the auditors involved in the preparation of reports and other financial documents provided to it were relevantly skilled to perform the task and were doing so in accordance with section 313 of the *Corporations Act*;

(b) says further that if it contravened section 283DA(b)(ii) of the *Corporations Act*, which is denied, and if the Plaintiffs and Group Members suffered loss and damage, which is also denied:

(i) the cause of the loss and damage are the actions and omissions of Provident and not any contravention by AET; and

(ii) no compensation is recoverable from it by the Plaintiffs and Group Members, pursuant to section 283F(1)(a) of the *Corporations Act* or otherwise; and

(c) says further that if it contravened section 283DA(b)(ii) of the *Corporations Act*, which is denied, and the Plaintiffs and the Group Members are entitled to recover compensation from AET pursuant to section 283F(1)(a) of the *Corporations Act* or otherwise, which is denied, that compensation must be reduced to account for any amounts recovered or to be recovered by the Plaintiffs and Group Members pursuant to the receivership of Provident, including any amounts recovered in proceedings commenced by the Receivers of Provident against the former directors of Provident.

- 42 Further, and in answer to the whole of the Amended Statement of Claim, AET:
- (a) relies on the date of the filing of the Statement of Claim in these proceedings as 10 June 2015;
 - (b) says that an action under section 283F(1) of the *Corporations Act* must be commenced within 6 years of the day on which the cause of action arises;

Particulars

Section 283F(2) of the *Corporations Act*

- (c) says further that a cause of action under section 283F(1) of the *Corporations Act* arises when a person suffers loss or damage because another person contravenes a provision of Chapter 2L of the *Corporations Act*;
- (d) says further that if, which is denied, AET contravened section 283DA(b)(ii) of the *Corporations Act* and such contravention caused any Group Member loss or damage prior to 10 June 2009, then the cause of action of the Group Member or Group Members under section 283F of the *Corporations Act* is statute-barred and ought be dismissed.

SIGNATURE OF LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE

I certify under clause 4 of Schedule 2 to the *Legal Profession Uniform Law Application Act* 2014 that there are reasonable grounds for believing on the basis of provable facts and a reasonably arguable view of the law that the defence to the claim for damages in these proceedings has reasonable prospects of success.

Signature



Capacity

Solicitor

Date of signature


17 September 2015

AFFIDAVIT VERIFYING

Name Yvonne Kelaher
 Address c/- Level 22, 207 Kent Street, Sydney
 Occupation Manager
 Date 17 September 2015

I say on oath:

- 1 I am the Senior Manager, Relationship & Transaction Management, for the defendant.
- 2 I have checked the accuracy of the statements contained within this Defence.
- 3 I believe that the allegations of fact contained in the defence are true.
- 4 I believe that the allegations of fact that are denied in the defence are untrue.
- 5 After reasonable inquiry, I do not know whether or not the allegations of fact that are not admitted in the defence are true.

SWORN at SYDNEY
 Signature of deponent 
 Name of witness ALEXANDER HASLAM
 Address of witness c/- 6-10 O'CONNELL STREET, SYDNEY, NSW
 Capacity of witness Solicitor

And as a witness, I certify the following matters concerning the person who made this affidavit (the deponent):

- 1 I saw the face of the deponent.
- 2 I have confirmed the deponent's identity using the following identification document:


 NSW DRIVER LICENSE # 12107062

Note: The deponent and witness must sign each page of the affidavit. See UCPR 35.7B.

FURTHER DETAILS ABOUT FILING PARTY

Filing party

Name	Australian Executor Trustees Limited
Address	c/- Gilchrist Connell Lawyers Level 25, Norwich House, 6-10 O'Connell Street SYDNEY NSW 2000

Legal representative for filing party

Name	Alexander Boyd Haslam
Practising certificate number	46433
Firm	Gilchrist Connell
Contact solicitor	Alex Haslam
Address	Gilchrist Connell Level 25 Norwich House 6-10 O'Connell Street Sydney NSW 2000
DX address	DX61 Sydney
Telephone	(02) 8240 8000
Fax	(02)9221 1799
Email	ahaslam@gclegal.com.au
Electronic service address	