AMENDED SUMMONS

COURT DETAILS

Court Supreme Court of New South Wales

Division Equity

List Commercial List

Registry Sydney

Case number 2019/232749

TITLE OF PROCEEDINGS

First Plaintiff

Terry Walter Williamson

Second Plaintiff

Helen Therese Williamson

First Defendant Sydney Olympic Park Authority

No of Defendants 3

FILING DETAILS

Filed for Terry Walter Williamson and Helen Therese

Williamson, Plaintiffs

Legal representative Chris Pagent, Corrs Chambers Westgarth

Legal representative reference 28450

Contact name and telephone Chris Pagent (02) 9210 6500

chris.pagent@corrs.com.au

HEARING DETAILS

This summons is listed for an initial case conference at 9 am Wednesday, 11 September 2019.

TYPE OF CLAIM

Equity – Building dispute

Representative proceeding under Part 10 of the Civil Procedure Act 2005 (NSW).

REPRESENTATIVE ACTION

- The Plaintiffs brings this proceeding on their own behalf and as a representative proceeding pursuant to Part 10 of the *Civil Procedure Act 2005* (NSW).
- The Group Members in this proceeding are all persons (other than the Defendants, Ecove Group Pty Ltd or its related entities) who, at 24 December 2018, owned one or more lots in Strata Plan 97315 or the common property.

COMMON QUESTIONS

- 1 Whether the Statutory Warranties are implied into the D&C Contract between AAD and Icon?
- If so, whether the Group Members are entitled to the same rights, as against SOPA, that AAD, as a party to the D&C Contract, has against Icon, in respect of the Statutory Warranties?
- Whether What was the design and construction of the Opal Tower, as designed and constructed, had the System?
- Whether Opal Tower, as designed and constructed, had the <u>FC System</u> Defects <u>and / or the Strength Defects</u>?
- 5 Whether the Observed Damage occurred?
- Whether the <u>FC System</u> Defects <u>and / or the Strength Defects</u> caused damage to Opal Tower, including the Observed Damage?
- 7 Whether the Opal Work was carried out with due care and skill?
- 8 Whether the Opal Work was carried out in accordance with the plans and specifications set out in the D&C Contract?
- 9 Whether the Legal Compliance Warranty obliged compliance with AS3600:2009?
- 10 If so, whether the Opal Work was carried out in accordance with AS3600:2009?
- 11 Whether the Legal Compliance Warranty obliged compliance with the NCC?
- 12 If so, whether the Opal Work was carried out in accordance with the NCC?
- Whether the materials supplied by Icon for the Opal Work were good and suitable for the purpose for which they were used?
- Whether the Opal Work resulted in dwellings for Group Members that were reasonably fit for occupation as dwellings?

- Whether Icon owed a duty of care to the Group Members to design and construct the Opal Tower (including the issuing of certificates) with reasonable care pursuant to
 s. 37(1) of the D&BP Act?
- 16 If Icon did owe a duty of care referred to in paragraph 15 above, whether it breached that duty of care?
- 17 Whether WSP owed a duty of care to the Group Members to design the Opal Tower

 (including the issue of certificates) with reasonable care pursuant to s. 37(1) of the

 D&BP Act?
- 18 <u>If WSP did owe a duty of care referred to in paragraph 17 above, whether it breached</u>
 that duty of care?
- 19 Whether Icon engaged in misleading or deceptive conduct in contravention of s. 18 of the ACL?
- 20 Whether Icon made a false or misleading representation that the Opal Work was of a particular standard, quality or grade in contravention of s. 29(1)(b) of the ACL?
- 21 If Icon did:
 - a. engage in misleading or deceptive conduct in contravention of s. 18 of the
 ACL;
 - b. <u>further or in the alternative, did make a representation of the kind referred to in paragraph 20 above.</u>

whether the Group Members suffered loss and damage because of that contravention (or those contraventions) such that they are entitled to recover the amount of the loss and damage pursuant to s. 236 of the ACL from Icon?

Whether WSP engaged in misleading or deceptive conduct in contravention of s. 18 of the ACL?

23 Whether WSP made a false or misleading representation that the Opal Work, further or in the alternative, the Opal Work – Design was of a particular standard, quality or grade in contravention of s. 29(1)(b) of the ACL?

24 If WSP did:

- a. engage in misleading or deceptive conduct in contravention of s. 18 of the
 ACL;
- b. <u>further or in the alternative, did make a representation of the kind referred to in paragraph 23 above,</u>

whether the Group Members suffered loss and damage because of that contravention (or those contraventions) such that they are entitled to recover the amount of the loss and damage pursuant to s. 236 of the ACL from WSP?

RELIEF CLAIMED

On the grounds set out in the Commercial List Statement, the Plaintiffs claim, on their own behalf, and on behalf of the Group Members:

- 1 Damages including damages for solatium.
- 1A Damages pursuant to s 236 of the ACL.
- Pursuant to s 177(1)(f) of the *Civil Procedure Act 2005* (NSW), an award of damages in an aggregate amount.
- 2 Interest in accordance with s 100 of the Civil Procedure Act 2005 (NSW).
- 3 Costs.
- 4 Interest on costs.
- 5 Such further or other orders as the Court deems fit.

SIGNATURE OF LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE

I certify under clause 4 of Schedule 2 to the <u>Legal Profession Uniform Law Application Act</u> <u>2014</u> that there are reasonable grounds for believing on the basis of provable facts and a reasonably arguable view of the law that the claim for damages in these proceedings has reasonable prospects of success.

I have advised the Plaintiffs that court fees may be payable during these proceedings.

These fees may include a hearing allocation fee.

Signature

Capacity Solicitor on the record

Date of signature 5 May 2021

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT

If your solicitor, barrister or you do not attend the hearing, the court may give judgment or make orders against you in your absence. The judgment may be for the relief claimed in the summons and for the Plaintiffs' costs of bringing these proceedings.

Before you can appear before the court you must file at the court an appearance in the approved form.

HOW TO RESPOND

Please read this summons very carefully. If you have any trouble understanding it or require assistance on how to respond to the summons you should get legal advice as soon as possible.

You can get further information about what you need to do to respond to the summons from:

- A legal practitioner.
- LawAccess NSW on 1300 888 529 or at www.lawaccess.nsw.gov.au.
- The court registry for limited procedural information.

Court forms are available on the UCPR website at www.ucprforms.justice.nsw.gov.au or at any NSW court registry.

REGISTRY ADDRESS

Street address Supreme Court of New South Wales

Law Courts Building 84 Phillip Street Sydney NSW 2000

Postal address Supreme Court of New South Wales

GPO Box 3

Sydney NSW 2001

Telephone 1300 679 272

FURTHER DETAILS ABOUT PLAINTIFFS

First Plaintiff

Name Terry Walter Williamson

Address C/- Corrs Chambers Westgarth

Level 9, 8 Chifley 8-12 Chifley Square Sydney NSW 2000

Second Plaintiff

Name Helen Therese Williamson

Address C/- Corrs Chambers Westgarth

Level 9, 8 Chifley 8-12 Chifley Square Sydney NSW 2000

Legal representative for Plaintiffs

Name Christopher John Pagent

Practising certificate number 28450

Firm Corrs Chambers Westgarth

Contact solicitor Vanessa McGlynn

Address Level 9 8 Chifley

8-12 Chifley Square Sydney NSW 2000

Telephone (02) 9210 6500 Fax (02) 9210 6611

Email chris.pagent@corrs.com.au;

vanessa.mcglynn@corrs.com.au

DETAILS ABOUT DEFENDANTS

First Defendant

Name Sydney Olympic Park Authority

Address Level 8

5 Olympic Boulevard

Sydney Olympic Park NSW 2127

Second Defendant

Name Icon Co (NSW) Pty Ltd (ACN 604 790 409)

<u>Address</u> <u>Level 23</u> <u>Rialto Towers</u>

525 Collins Street

Melbourne VIC 3001

Third Defendant

Name WSP Structures Pty Ltd (ABN 78 006 769 339)

Address Level 27

680 George Street

Sydney NSW 2000